The USACM Liquidating Trust (the "USACM Trust") moves this Court, pursuant to § 502 of title 11 of the United States Bankruptcy Code (the "Bankruptcy Code") and Rule 3007 of the Federal Rules of Bankruptcy Procedure (the "Bankruptcy Rules"), for an order disallowing Proof of Claim 10725-00403 filed by Duane U. Deverill Trustee of the Nevada Freedom Corp. ("Deverill"). Deverill filed this claim against USA Commercial Mortgage Company ("USACM") based upon an investment in a loan to Bundy Canyon Land Development, LLC (the "Borrower"). This loan was one of several to the Borrower, and will be referred to here as the "Bundy Canyon (\$1 Million) Loan." This Objection is supported by the Court's record and the Declaration of Geoffrey L. Berman in Support of the Omnibus Objection to the Proof of Claim Based Entirely Upon Investment in the Bundy Canyon (\$1 Million) Loan (the "Berman Decl.").

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26



THIS OBJECTION DOES NOT RELATE TO AND WILL NOT IMPACT THE DIRECT LENDERS' RIGHTS TO REPAYMENT ON THE BUNDY CANYON (\$1 MILLION) LOAN, SHARE IN ANY PROCEEDS GENERATED FROM THE SALE OF THE REAL PROPERTY SECURING THE BUNDY CANYON (\$1 MILLION) LOAN, OR SHARE IN THE RECOVERY OF ANY FUNDS FROM THE GUARANTOR FOR THE LOAN.

#### MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES

## I. BACKGROUND FACTS

# a. The USACM Bankruptcy

On April 13, 2006 ("Petition Date"), USACM filed a voluntary petition for relief under chapter 11 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor continued to operate its business as debtor-in-possession pursuant to sections 1107(a) and 1108 of the Bankruptcy Code. Debtor's post-petition management of the Debtor was under the direction of Thomas J. Allison of Mesirow Financial Interim Management, LLC, who served as the Chief Restructuring Officer.

USACM was a Nevada corporation that, prior to the Petition Date, was in the business of underwriting, originating, brokering, funding and servicing commercial loans primarily secured by real estate, both on behalf of investors and for its own account. That business included the solicitation of investors to purchase fractional interest in loans that USACM originated and then serviced. These investors are referred to as "Direct Lenders" in USACM's bankruptcy case and in this Objection.

On January 8, 2007, this Court entered its Order Confirming the "Debtors' Third Amended Joint Chapter 11 Plan of Reorganization" as Modified Herein [Docket No. 2376]. As part of the Plan, and pursuant to an Asset Purchase Agreement filed with this Court, USACM sold the servicing rights to most of the loans it serviced to Compass

Partners, LLC and Compass Financial Partners, LLC ("Compass"), including the Bundy Canyon (\$1 Million) Loan. The sale to Compass closed on February 16, 2007.

The USACM Trust exists as of the Effective Date of the Plan, which was March 12, 2007. Geoffrey L. Berman is the Trustee. Under the Plan, the USACM Trust is the successor to USACM with respect to standing to seek allowance and disallowance of Claims under 11 U.S.C. § 502(a).

Upon information derived from filings in the United States District Court, District of Nevada, 3685 San Fernando Lenders Company, LLC, et al v. Compass USA SPE, LLC, et al, No. 2:07-cv-00892-RCJ-GWF action, the Trust believes that "Silar Advisors, LP ("Silar") financed Compass' acquisition of the Purchased Assets, including the loan service agreements in the USACM bankruptcy case and took a secured interest in those Purchased Assets by executing a Master Repurchase Agreement ("Repurchase Agreement") with Compass, and by filing a UCC-1 financing statement with the State of Delaware." *Id.* Docket 1250 at 13-14 (citations to declarations omitted).

Further, from filings in the same action, the Trust believes that "Effective as of September 26, 2007, Silar foreclosed on Compass through Asset Resolution LLC ("Asset Resolution") and took ownership of the Purchased Assets. ... Silar created Asset Resolution as a 'single purpose entity,' conveyed all of its interests in the Repurchase Agreement to Asset Resolution, and Asset Resolution properly foreclosed on the assets of Compass, including the Purchased Assets." (Citations omitted.) Asset Resolution LLC is now a debtor in a chapter 7 bankruptcy case pending in Nevada, case no. BK-S-09-32824-RCJ, along with certain affiliates. By Order entered on July 19, 2010 by the Hon. Robert C. Jones in the Asset Resolution Case, the servicing rights for 19 loans were transferred to

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> 10 90 SPE LLC, Fiesta Stoneridge LLC, CFP Gramercy SPE LLC, Bundy 2.5 Million SPE LLC, CFP Cornman Toltec SPE LLC, Bundy Five Million LLC, Fox Hills SPE LLC, HFAH Monaco SPE LLC, Huntsville SPE LLC, Lake Helen Partners SPE LLC, Ocean Atlantic SPE LLC, CFP, Gess SPE LLC, CFP Clear Lake SPE LLC, and Shamrock SPE LLC.



Cross, FLS. The Bundy Canyon (\$1 Million) Loan, was not among the loans whose servicing rights were transferred to Cross, FLS.

The Trust has attempted to monitor loan collections through monitoring the district court litigation and the ARC bankruptcy case, but has received limited information concerning servicing and resolution of direct loans by Compass/Silar/Asset Resolution or their successors, including the trustee in bankruptcy for Asset Resolution. The following is the extent of the USACM Trust's information on the current servicing and status of the Bundy Canyon (\$1 Million) Loan.

## b. The Bundy Canyon (\$1 Million) Loan

USACM circulated an Offer Sheet to prospective Direct Lenders soliciting funding for an acquisition and development loan to a borrower identified as "Bundy Canyon Land Development, LLC." A copy of the Offer Sheet is attached hereto as **Exhibit A** and incorporated by this reference. (Berman Decl., ¶ 4.) The Offer Sheet stated that the Borrower was a joint venture between USA Investment Partners and two individuals, Chris Pederson and Kevin Everett. The total loan amount proposed was \$1,050,000. *Id.* The Offer Sheet described the investment as a "First Trust Deed Investment" and noted that the investment would be secured by a first deed of trust on approximately 7 acres of property to be developed into approximately 27 lots, located on Bundy Canyon Road in Riverside County, California, near the city of Murrietta. *Id.* The loan to value ratio was 39% based on the sell out price for the approved mapped lots. *Id.* The Offer Sheet noted that an appraisal had been engaged. <sup>2</sup> *Id.* 

On January 6, 2006, Borrower made and delivered a "Promissory Note Secured by Deed of Trust" (the "Note") and a Loan Agreement (Berman Decl., ¶5.) The Note and Loan Agreement provided for a loan of \$1,050,000. *Id.* Pursuant to the Loan Agreement,

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> The Trustee has been unable to locate an appraisal for this loan and it does not appear that one was ever obtained.



the loan was intended to acquire the real property. *Id.* The Note was secured by a "Deed of Trust, Assignment of Rents, Security Agreement and Fixture Filing" (the "Deed of Trust") from the Borrower in favor of the sole investor in the loan, Duane Deverill Trustee of the Nevada Freedom Corp PSP ("Deverill"), that was recorded in the official records of Riverside County, California on January 9, 2006 at Instrument Number 2006-0018070. *Id.* The Note was also supported by a guaranty from Tom Hantges and Joseph Milanowski. (Berman Decl., ¶ 6.)

The USACM "Loan Summary" dated July 31, 2006 and filed in this case shows that Borrower was "Performing" on the Note as of July 31, 2006, as sufficient cash was held by the disbursement agent to pay outstanding interest due. (Berman Decl., ¶ 7.) The USACM "Loan Summary" dated February 28, 2007 and filed in this case shows that the Borrower was "Performing" on the Note as of February 28, 2007 as well. (Berman Decl., ¶ 8.) During this bankruptcy case through the transfer of servicing to Compass, USACM treated the Direct Lenders with respect to any interim payments by the borrower in accordance with this Court's orders and the confirmed Plan. The Trustee does not know the current status of the collateral that secured the Bundy Canyon (\$1 Million) Loan.

Proof of Claim 10725-00403 is based entirely upon an investment in the Bundy Canyon (\$1 Million) Loan. Deverill was the only Direct Lender to fund the Bundy Canyon (\$1 Million) Loan and, therefore, Deverill is the only Direct Lender who filed a claim based upon an investment in the Bundy Canyon (\$1 Million) Loan. As required by Nevada LR 3007, a copy of the first page of Proof of Claim 10725-00403 is attached as **Exhibit B.** 

#### II. JURISDICTION

The Court has jurisdiction over this Objection pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1334 and 157. Venue is appropriate under 28 U.S.C. §§ 1408 and 1409. This matter is a core proceeding under 28 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

The statutory predicates for the relief requested herein are 11 U.S.C. § 502 and Bankruptcy Rule 3007.

## III. APPLICABLE AUTHORITY

Under the Bankruptcy Code, any Claim for which a proof of claim has been filed will be allowed unless a party in interest objects. If a party in interest objects to the proof of claim, the Court, after notice and hearing, shall determine the amount of the Claim and shall allow the Claim except to the extent that the Claim is "unenforceable against the debtor . . . under any . . . applicable law for a reason other than because such claim is contingent or unmatured." 11 U.S.C. § 502(b). A properly filed proof of claim is presumed valid under Bankruptcy Rule 3001(f). However, once an objection to the proof of claim controverts the presumption, the creditor ultimately bears the burden of persuasion as to the validity and amount of the claim. *See Ashford v. Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.* (*In re Consolidated Pioneer Mortg.*), 178 B.R. 222, 226 (9th Cir. B.A.P. 1995), *aff'd*, 91 F.3d 151 (9th Cir. 1996).

### IV. THE OBJECTION

USA Investment Partners ("USA IP") held an interest in the Borrower and that interest was disclosed in the Offer Sheet described above. As such, and unlike many of the other loans brokered by USACM, the Bundy Canyon (\$1 Million) Loan was not completely arms' length. However, Deverill received the first position interest in the collateral securing the loan as promised by the Offer Sheet. Deverill took a known risk by investing in a promissory note secured by a lien on real property.

USACM is not liable for the Borrower's default, but the Trustee recognizes the potential conflict of interest arising from USACM's brokering the loan for an affiliate, being the loan's servicer. In this case, again as distinguished from many of the other USACM brokered loans including the other Bundy Canyon loans, this loan was performing at the time of the USACM bankruptcy filing and when the loan servicing

portfolio was sold and therefore, the potential failure of USACM to act diligently to demand payment from a defaulting borrower does not appear to be an issue. USACM however is not responsible for any decrease in the value of the underlying collateral.

It should also be noted that Deverill filed his proof of claim claiming to be a secured creditor of USACM. The Trust has previously objected to direct lender proofs of claim asserting secured status <u>against USACM</u> for investments brokered by USACM and for which collateral was provided by the borrower rather than by USACM. To the extent that the Deverill assertion of being secured <u>by USACM</u> assets in his proof of claim has not otherwise been addressed, the Trust objects to the secured claim status being sought by Deverill and that the Deverill proof of claim be deemed a general unsecured claim in the USACM estate and that no accrued interest be part of any allowed unsecured claim.

Further, and in light of USACM's potential conflict of interest noted above, the Trustee is prepared to allow a general unsecured claim for Proof of Claim 10725-00403 in the amount of 20% of the original \$1,050,000 loan amount, or \$210,000. The balance of Deverill's proof of claim, \$842,069.31, is otherwise objected to as Deverill fails to state a claim because USACM does not appear to have breached the loan servicing agreements with respect to collection of the Bundy Canyon (\$1 Million) Loan. USACM was under no duty to foreclose on the collateral securing the Bundy Canyon (\$1 Million) Loan or take any other action.

This objection will not affect the Deverill's right to be repaid on the Bundy Canyon (\$1 Million) Loan by the Borrower, to recover from the sale of any collateral that secured the Bundy Canyon (\$1 Million) Loan or share in any funds generated by enforcing the guaranty associated with the Bundy Canyon (\$1 Million) Loan.

#### V. CONCLUSION

The USACM Trust respectfully requests that the Court allow Proof of Claim 10725-00403 in part as a general unsecured claim in the amount of \$210,000 and disallow

	LECOS 06-10725-gwz Doc 8680 Entered 07/22/11 11:03:17 Page 8 of 8
	ROCA LAWYERS
1	the remaining \$842,069.31 of that claim. The USACM Trust also requests such other and
2	further relief as is just and proper.
3	DATED this 22nd day of July, 2011. LEWIS AND ROCA LLP
4	
5	By s/John Hinderaker (AZ 18024) Robert M. Charles, Jr., NV 6593
6	John Hinderaker, AZ 18024 (pro hac vice)
7 8	Marvin Ruth, NV 10979 3993 Howard Hughes Parkway, Suite 600 Las Vegas, Nevada 89169
9	E-mail: JHinderaker@lrlaw.com  Attorneys for the USACM Liquidating Trust
10	COPY of the foregoing mailed this 22nd day of July, 2011, to:
11	
12	Nevada Freedom Corp.
13	Ftbo Duane U. Deverill P.O. Box 4718
14	Incline Village, NV 89450
15	LEWIS AND ROCA LLP
16	
17	s/ Renee L. Creswell Renee L. Creswell
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	